

Effects of Metformin, Glimepiride and their Combination on Glycemia and Lipid Profile of NIDDM Patients- A study in Iraqis

Hadeel Delman Najim^{1*}, Ibrahim Adham Majeed² and Abbas Mahdi Rahmah³

¹Department of Clinical Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, Al-Mustansiriya University, Baghdad, Iraq.

²Department of Clinical Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq.

³National Diabetes Center for Treatment and Research, Al-Mustansiriya University, Baghdad, Iraq.

ABSTRACT

To compare the effects of an insulin sensitizer, metformin, with an insulinsecretagogue, glimepiride, on blood glucose level and lipid profile in newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes. This is an open-label, randomized study carried out on 50 newly diagnosed type 2 diabetic patients and 20 healthy subjects. Patients were randomly divided into three groups and assigned for treatment with either metformin (n=20) or glimepiride (n=10) or both (n=20) for 12 weeks. We observed the level of fasting blood glucose (FBG), glycated hemoglobin (HbA_{1c}) and lipid profile before and after 12 weeks of treatment. After 12 weeks, FBG and HbA_{1c} significantly decreased in all treated groups. The level of total cholesterol (TC) and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c) were significantly decreased, whereas high-density lipoprotein (HDL-c) was increased markedly only in metformin treated group as monotherapy and as combination with glimepiride, while no significant changes were observed in triglyceride (TG) level in any group. Metformin improve lipid profile when used in type 2 diabetic patients and reduce the risk of cardiovascular complications.

Keywords: Type 2 DM, lipid profile, metformin, glimepiride.

INTRODUCTION

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a glucose metabolism disorder caused by insulin deficiency and/or insulin resistance¹. T2DM patients are more prone to cardiovascular complications (CVD), which can occur earlier and more frequently as compared to non-diabetic patients².

Dyslipidemia, an established risk factor for CVD, is strikingly common in patients with type 2 diabetes, affecting almost 50% of this population³. In addition to hyperglycemia and hypertension, dyslipidemia is a modifiable CVD risk factor that remains largely uncontrolled in patients with T2DM³.

Hyperglycemia increases the risk of microvascular complications⁴, while dyslipidemia is a major risk factor for macrovascular complications in patients with type 2 diabetes^{5,6}. Elevated low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c) is a major risk factor for CVD⁵. As such, management of LDL-c is

the primary goal of therapy for diabetic dyslipidemia⁷⁻⁹. Furthermore, type 2 diabetes increases the risk of CVD mortality independent of LDL-c levels, adding to the greater overall cardiovascular risk in this population¹⁰. Therefore, aggressive lipid treatment goals have been recommended for patients with type 2 diabetes^{7,9,11}. As the prevalence of type 2 diabetes increases, prevention of CVD is becoming an increasingly urgent public health concern, requiring aggressive management of the entire lipid profile⁷. Numerous evidence suggested that improving glycemic control, in patients with type 2 diabetes, have a substantial potential to reduce the risk of long term complications¹².

In present study, we sought to clarify the effects of 2 classes of antidiabetic drugs on lipid profile, glimepiride, an insulinsecretagogue, and metformin, an insulin sensitizer, 2 diametrically

opposite strategies for management of hyperglycemia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient Selection

50 male patients with newly diagnosed T2DM were participated in the present study. They are randomly selected and assigned either to metformin, glimepiride or combination treated groups. All subjects were recruited from the National Diabetes Center for Treatment and Research/Baghdad; age 30–69 years, body mass index (BMI) 25-35. All subjects have been treated for 12 months. All subjects were diagnosed with T2DM in accordance with the WHO diabetes diagnostic criteria of 1999 and had never been treated before.

METHOD

After 12 hours overnight fasting, blood samples were analyzed for FBG, HbA_{1c}, TC, TG, LDL-c, VLDL-c and HDL-c levels. All subjects were orally administered with either 1–2 mg Glimepiride once a day before meal and/or 500-850 mg metformin twice a day. After 12 weeks of the treatment, we observed the changes in these parameters. FBG measured using enzymatic colorimetric method (Spinreact, Spain), HbA_{1c} determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)(Bio-Rad Variant, Italy). Plasma TG and TC were determined by enzymatic techniques(Randox, UK) and (Spinreact, Spain) respectively. HDL-c levels were measured after precipitation of plasma apoB-containing lipoproteins with phosphotungstic acid; LDL-c level was calculated using the Friedewald formula [13]. LDL-c = Total cholesterol – (HDL-c + (0.20 TG)) and VLDL-c = 0.20 TG (mg/dL).

Statistical Analysis

Data are expressed as means ± SE. Statistics were performed using SPSS (version 19). Differences from baseline were assessed by the paired Student's *t* test. A P-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Patients

Of 50 patients randomized to treatment, 20 in the metformin group, 10 in the glimepiride group and 20 in the glimepiride + metformin group, all patients received at least one dose of the study drug. There were no apparent differences between the three groups with respect to demographic and baseline characteristics (Table 1).

Glycaemic Control

Changes from baseline to the end of the study are summarized in Table 2. FBG and HbA_{1c} were progressively decreased in all groups. Combination

of metformin and glimepiride was superior in reducing FBG and HbA_{1c} levels than monotherapy of each one alone; there was no significant difference between metformin or glimepiride monotherapy with respect to the change in FBG or HbA_{1c}.

Lipid Profile Control

Treatment with metformin alone or in combination with glimepiride produced a significant decrease in serum TC and LDL-c levels, and significance increase in HDL-c levels. While treatment with glimepiride alone produced non-significant changes. All treatment groups show non-significant changes in serum TG and VLDL-c levels.

DISCUSSION

In consistent with many studies, significant improvement in glycemic parameters (FBG and HbA_{1c}) was seen over a short period of 8-12 weeks in moderately severe, newly diagnosed diabetic patients treated with either glimepiride, metformin or combination, when compared with pre-treatment¹⁴⁻¹⁶. The improvements in glycemic parameters with glimepiride and metformin were similar, while combination produced a lower degree of reduction with respect to the change in FBG and HbA_{1c}^{17,18,19}. A previous studies approved that glimepiride increases insulin sensitivity at peripheral target sites and improve glycemic control in newly diagnosed diabetic subjects²⁰. The extra-pancreatic effects of glimepiride made its combination with metformin more effective in improving glycemic control (synergistic effect) by reducing glucose level and HbA_{1c} more than monotherapy of each^{21,22}.

Newly diagnosed type 2 diabetic patients, at baseline, show varying features with respect to lipid profile, present study agreed with previous studies; demonstrated that metformin as monotherapy and as combination with glimepiride reduced TC, LDL-c, non-significant decrease in TG levels^{23,24,25} and increase serum HDL-c level²³, there was no significant difference between metformin monotherapy and the combination therapy with respect to these parameters²⁶. However, these studies and ours note that the lipid-lowering effects of metformin were observed in the patients with pre-existing dyslipidemia (have elevated TC, LDL-c, TG levels at baseline according to The United States' National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP)) not in patients who had normal serum lipid levels before treatment²⁷. Therefore, our results demonstrate that metformin used in diabetic treatment improves lipid profile in agreement with previous studies²⁸.

The present study reported that glimepiride has no significant effect on serum TC, LDL-c and HDL-c levels, the small sample size and limited duration may lack some of data and cause some of the

conclusions to be drawn. However, although we got a non-significant reduction with LDL-c, other workers attributed the reduction to the increased production of LDL-c receptors by insulin, which's seen stimulated by glimepiride²⁹, and we expect to get more tangible results with larger sample size and longer duration. Non-significant change in HDL-c levels with glimepiride treatment consistent with previous studies^{30,31,32}.

Conflicting results about the effect of glimepiride on TG level, in some studies glimepiride decrease TG level³³, in others not affected³⁰. Our result supported by Steven *et al.*, showed an increase in TG level with glimepiride treatment although it is statistically non-significant but the values were far above normal range³². This effect may be due to an external source, since our study did not include carbohydrate restriction or special diet program, TG elevated in most patients treated with

glimepiride which is appetite inducer. Studies approved that increases in fasting plasma triglyceride concentrations are commonly observed during the consumption of low-fat, high-carbohydrate (LF/HC) diets^{34,35,36}.

CONCLUSION

Metformin improve lipid profile when used in type 2 diabetic patients and reduce the risk of CVD.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The present work was abstracted from M Sc theses submitted to the Department of Clinical Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, University of Baghdad. The authors gratefully thank University of Baghdad and The National Diabetes Center for Treatment and Research/ Al-Mustansiriya University for supporting the project.

Table 1: Patient characteristic at baseline

| Characteristic | Metformin (M) | Glimepiride (G) | M + G |
|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| n=50 | 20 | 10 | 20 |
| Age; years | 52.63 ± 2.23 | 48.80 ± 2.99 | 47.95 ± 1.55 |
| FSG mg/dl | 213.47 ± 13.14 | 218 ± 13.97 | 249.05 ± 12.15 |
| HbA _{1c} ; % | 9.47 ± 0.58 | 8.75 ± 0.36 | 11.65 ± 0.39 |
| TC mg/dl | 196.42 ± 13.58 | 210.60 ± 14.51 | 201.35 ± 15.11 |
| TG mg/dl | 128.37 ± 10.06 | 231 ± 49.15 | 153.10 ± 14.62 |
| LDL-c mg/dl | 129.05 ± 13.00 | 138.10 ± 12.80 | 119.70 ± 15.63 |
| VLDL-c mg/dl | 25.63 ± 2.03 | 46.20 ± 9.83 | 32.00 ± 3.05 |
| HDL-c mg/dl | 43.26 ± 1.69 | 38.60 ± 1.11 | 43.65 ± 1.70 |

Table 2: Changes from baseline and after 12 weeks in glycemia and lipid profile

| Variable/time point | Metformin | Glimepiride | Metformin + Glimepiride |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| FPG (%) | | | |
| Baseline | 213.47 ± 13.14 | 218 ± 13.97 | 249.05 ± 12.15 |
| Week 12 | 132.95 ± 3.99 ^{*b} | 132.60 ± 1.45 ^{*b} | 145.15 ± 3.41 ^{*a} |
| Change from baseline | -37.72 % | -39.17 % | -41.72 % |
| HbA _{1c} (%) | | | |
| Baseline | 9.47 ± 0.58 | 8.75 ± 0.36 | 11.65 ± 0.39 |
| Week 12 | 6.04 ± 0.32 ^{*a} | 5.71 ± 0.21 ^{*b} | 6.91 ± 0.31 ^{*a} |
| Change from baseline | -36.27 % | -34.74 % | -40.70 % |
| TC (%) | | | |
| Baseline | 196.42 ± 13.58 | 210.60 ± 14.51 | 201.35 ± 15.11 |
| Week 12 | 152.68 ± 11.26 ^{*a} | 196.80 ± 8.47 ^b | 158.40 ± 7.93 ^{*a} |
| Change from baseline | -22.27 % | -6.55 % | -21.33 % |
| TG (%) | | | |
| Baseline | 128.37 ± 10.06 | 231 ± 49.15 | 153.10 ± 14.62 |
| Week 12 | 118.47 ± 9.47 ^b | 302.50 ± 45.70 ^a | 141.20 ± 16.41 ^b |
| Change from baseline | -7.71 % | 30.95 % | -7.77 % |
| LDL-c (%) | | | |
| Baseline | 129.05 ± 13.00 | 138.10 ± 12.80 | 119.70 ± 15.63 |
| Week 12 | 81.26 ± 11.00 ^{*a} | 105.10 ± 10.06 ^a | 85.95 ± 9.99 ^{*a} |
| Change from baseline | -37.03 % | -23.90 % | -28.20 % |
| VLDL-c (%) | | | |
| Baseline | 25.63 ± 2.03 | 46.20 ± 9.83 | 32.00 ± 3.05 |
| Week 12 | 24.07 ± 1.91 ^b | 60.50 ± 9.14 ^a | 28.10 ± 3.28 ^b |
| Change from baseline | -8.42 % | 30.95 % | -12.19 % |
| HDL-c (%) | | | |

| | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Baseline | 43.26 ± 1.69 | 38.60 ± 1.11 | 43.65 ± 1.70 |
| Week 12 | 47.42 ± 1.71 ^{*a} | 39.60 ± 1.05 ^b | 48.60 ± 1.63 ^{*a} |
| Change from baseline | 9.61 % | 2.59 % | 11.34 % |

Data are given as mean ± SE for baseline and end of study values for change from baseline; * significantly different compared to pre-treatment level ($P < 0.05$); values with non-identical superscripts (a,b) among different groups are considered significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

REFERENCES

- Dedoussis GV, Kaliora AC and Panagiotakos DB. Genes, diet and type 2 diabetes mellitus: a review, *Rev Diabet Stud.* 2007;4:13–24.
- Reusch JE and Draznin BB. Atherosclerosis in diabetes and insulin resistance. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2007;9:455–463.
- Saydah SH, Fradkin J and Cowie CC. Poor control of risk factors for vascular disease among adults with previously diagnosed diabetes. *JAMA* 2004; 291:335-342.
- Stratton IM. Association of glycaemia with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 35): prospective observational study. *BMJ.* 2000;321:405-412.
- Turner RC. Risk factors for coronary artery disease in non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus: United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS 23), *BMJ.* 1998;316:823-828.
- Farmer JA. Diabetic dyslipidemia and atherosclerosis: evidence from clinical trials. *Curr Diab Rep.* 2008; 8:71-77.
- Goff DC JR. Prevention of cardiovascular disease in persons with type 2 diabetes mellitus: current knowledge and rationale for the Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes (ACCORD) trial. *Am J Cardiol.* 2007;99:4i-20i.
- Brunzell JD. Lipoprotein management in patients with cardiometabolic risk: consensus statement from the American Diabetes Association and the American College of Cardiology Foundation, *Diabetes Care.* 2008;31:811-822.
- American Diabetes Association: Standards of medical care in diabetes, *Diabetes Care.* 2009;32(Suppl 1):S13-S61.
- Stamler J, Vaccaro O, Neaton JD and Wentworth D. Diabetes, other risk factors, and 12-yr cardiovascular mortality for men screened in the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. *Diabetes Care* 1993;16:434-444.
- Grundy SM. Implications of recent clinical trials for the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III guidelines, *Circulation.* 2004;110:227-239.
- U.K. Prospective Diabetes Study 27, Plasma lipids and lipoproteins at diagnosis of NIDDM by age and sex, *Diabetes Care.* 1997;20:1683–1687.
- Friedewald WT, Levy RI and Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of LDL-c in plasma without use of the preparative centrifuge. *Clin Chem.* 1972;18:499-502.
- Weitgasser R. Effects of glimepiride on HbA1c and body weight in Type 2 diabetes: results of a 1.5-year follow-up study, *Diabetes research and clinical practice.* 2003;61:13-19.
- Inglea P and Taleleb G. Effects of metformin in combination with glimepiride on HbA1c and body mass index in Indian patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, *Journal of Pharmacy Research.* 2010; 3(9): 2177-2179.
- Min W. Effect of short-term intensive therapy with glimepiride and metformin in newly diagnosed type 2 diabetic patients *J. South Med Univ.* 2011;31:564-566.
- Charpentier G, Fleury F, Kabir M, Vaur L and Halimi S., Improved glycaemic control by addition of glimepiride to metformin monotherapy in Type 2 diabetic patients, *Diabetic Medicine.* 2001;18:828-834.
- Moses R. Effect of repaglinide addition to metformin monotherapy on glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 1999;22:119-124.
- Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Salini J and Vijay V. Use of Glimepiride and Insulin Sensitizers in the Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes. A Study in Indians, *JAPI.* 2004;52:459-463.
- Xu D. Effects of Glimepiride on metabolic parameters and cardiovascular risk factors in patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus, *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice.* 2010;88:71–75.

21. Haupt E, Knick B, Koschinsky T, Liebermeister H, Schneider J and Hirche H. Oral antidiabetic combination therapy with sulphonylureas and metformin. *Diabetes Metab.* 1991;17:224-31.
22. Riddle M. Combining sulphonylureas and other oral agents. *Am J Med.* 2000;108(Suppl) 6a:15S-22S.
23. Salpeter SR, Greyber E, Pasternak GA and Salpeter EE. Risk of fatal and nonfatal lactic acidosis with metformin use in type 2 diabetes mellitus: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Intern Med.* 2003;163:2594.
24. Kim HJ. Effects of rosiglitazone and metformin on inflammatory markers and adipokines: decrease in interleukin-18 is an independent factor for the improvement of homeostasis model assessment-beta in type 2 diabetes mellitus, *Clin. Endocrinol. (Oxf.)* 2007;66(2):282-9.
25. Riccio A, Del Prato S, De Kreutzenberg SV and Tiengo A. Glucose and lipid metabolism in non-insulin dependent diabetes: effect of metformin. *Diabetes Metab.* 1991;17:180-184.
26. Charpentier G, Fleury F, Kabir M, Vaur L and Halimi S. Improved glycaemic control by addition of glimepiride to metformin monotherapy in Type 2 diabetic patients, *Diabetic Medicine.* 2001;18:828-834.
27. Paolisso G. Effect of metformin on food intake in obese subjects. *Eur J Clin Invest.* 1998;28:441-446.
28. Setter SM, Iltz JL, Thams J and Campbell RK. metformin hydrochloride in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. A clinical review with a focus on dual therapy, *Clinical therapeutic.* 2003;25:2991-3026.
29. Santos RF, Nomizo R, Wajhenberg BL, Reaven GM and Azhar S. Changes in insulin receptor tyrosine kinase activity associated with metformin treatment of type 2 diabetes, *Diabetes & Metabolism.* 1995; 21: 274-280.
30. Kakadiya J, Mulani H and Shah N. Investigation Effect of Glimepiride on Diabetic Marker and Cardiac Lipid Parameter in Isoproterenol Induced Myocardial Infarction in Diabetes in Rats. *International Journal of Advances in Pharmaceutical Sciences.* 2010;1:319-325.
31. Valsaraj S, Augusti KT, Chemmanam V and Jose R. Effects of insulin, glimepiride and combination therapy of insulin and metformin on blood sugar and lipid profile of NIDDM patients, *Indian Journal of Clinical Biochemistry.* 2009; 24(2):175-178.
32. Nissen SE. Comparison of Pioglitazone vs Glimepiride on Progression of Coronary Atherosclerosis in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes, *JAMA.* 2008; 299(13):1561-1573.
33. Srinivasan K, Viswanad B, Asrat L, Kaul CL and Ramarao P. Combination of high-fat diet-fed and low-dose streptozotocin-treated rat: A model for type 2 diabetes and pharmacological screening. *Pharmacological Research.* 2005;52:31-320.
34. Parks EJ, Krauss RM, Christiansen MP, Neese RA and Hellerstein MK. Effects of a low-fat, high-carbohydrate diet on VLDL triglyceride assembly, production, and clearance. *J Clin Invest.* 1999; 104:1087-1096.
35. Connor WE and Connor SL. Should low-fat, high-carbohydrate diets be recommended for everyone. *N Engl J Med.* 1997;337:562-563.
36. Katan MB, Grundy SM and Willett WC. Beyond low-fat diets. *N Engl J Med.* 1997;337:563-566.